

First Scientific Paper Planning Checklist

Use this checklist to plan, draft, and review your first research paper.

Stage 1: Before You Start Writing

- Have I clearly defined my research question or objective?
→ This will guide your entire paper and help avoid scope creep.
 - Have I reviewed at least 5–10 relevant papers or sources?
→ Reviewing literature helps identify gaps and justify your research.
 - Do I know which journal or conference I'm targeting?
→ Knowing the target journal early will help you write with the right tone and format.
 - Have I noted the formatting or citation requirements of the target journal?
→ Check author guidelines for word count, referencing style, and figure/table limits.
-

Stage 2: Structuring the Paper

- Do I understand the standard structure (e.g., IMRAD format)?
→ Most scientific papers follow Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion.
 - Have I outlined the main points I want to include in each section?
→ Outlining helps prevent writer's block and maintain logical flow.
 - Is my main finding or contribution clearly stated?
→ This is your paper's core message — make it stand out.
-

Stage 3: Writing and Drafting

- Have I scheduled time for writing regularly?
→ Consistency is key. Treat writing like a part of your regular schedule.
 - Have I written at least one complete draft without worrying about perfection?
→ Getting your thoughts out is more important than polishing on day one.
 - Have I provided enough detail for reproducibility?
→ The Methods section should allow another researcher to replicate your work.
 - Have I cited the original sources of protocols or tools used?
→ Even widely used methods like PCR or SPSS analysis need proper citation.
-

Stage 4: File Preparation and Supplementary Material

- Is the file saved in the correct format (e.g., .docx, LaTeX, or journal template)?
→ Many journals provide downloadable templates — using them can save time and reduce formatting errors.
 - Are all supplementary files (figures, datasets, appendices) properly named and referenced?
→ Keep filenames simple, clear, and consistent.
 - Is the manuscript anonymized if submitting to a double-blind journal?
→ Remove names, affiliations, and identifying phrases from the text and metadata.
-

Stage 5: Figures, Captions & Terminology

- Are all figures/tables clearly labeled and numbered?
→ Use consistent numbering (e.g., Fig. 1, Table 2) and refer to them appropriately in the text.
 - Do all figures have self-explanatory captions?
→ Captions should briefly explain what the figure shows — without needing to read the whole paper.
 - Are figure axes and units clearly labeled?
→ Avoid ambiguous axes — make sure every unit (e.g., mg/L, seconds) is readable and standardized.
 - Are abbreviations defined at first mention?
→ Write the full form followed by the abbreviation in brackets the first time (e.g., Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)).
 - Are all acronyms and terminology consistent throughout?
→ Don't switch between "WHO" and "World Health Organization" randomly — stay consistent.
 - Have image files been optimized for submission?
→ Use the required format (e.g., TIFF, JPEG, EPS) and resolution (often 300 DPI for journals).
 - Are figure legends and table titles informative but concise?
→ They should describe the content clearly, without restating the entire result.
-

Stage 6: Review & Language Quality

- Have I identified peers or mentors who can give feedback?
→ Constructive feedback is essential before submission.
- Have I proofread for grammar, clarity, and formatting?
→ Minor errors can lead to rejection even with strong content.
- Have I eliminated jargon or explained it where necessary?
→ Avoid overly technical language unless it's common in your field.

Is the tone formal, objective, and free from personal opinions?

→ Avoid first-person unless the journal allows it.

Have I avoided vague words like “interesting,” “nice,” or “good”?

→ Use precise, discipline-specific language instead.

Stage 7: Title, Abstract & Keywords

Is the title concise, specific, and informative?

→ Avoid vague titles; state your key result or method.

Does the abstract summarize the full study (background, method, key result, conclusion)?

→ The abstract is often the only part read — it must stand alone.

Are keywords relevant, searchable, and not too general?

→ Avoid terms like “science” or “study” — use field-specific terms.

Stage 8: Declarations and Ethics

Have I included a conflict-of-interest statement if required?

→ Many journals require this even for student authors.

Have I acknowledged funding sources, lab support, or institutional help?

→ This adds transparency and gives credit where it’s due.

Have I included all required declarations (ethics approval, data availability, etc.)?

→ Some journals require these even at submission stage.

Stage 9: Final Pre-Submission Checks

Have I double-checked references and citations?

→ Ensure in-text citations match the bibliography.

Have I checked submission requirements again before uploading?

→ Some journals have technical checks that can be avoided with a final review.

Have I checked the journal’s checklist or author guidelines one last time?

→ It’s easy to overlook small formatting or metadata issues.



Timeline Goals:

- First Draft: _____
- Review/Feedback: _____
- Second Draft: _____
- Submission: _____



Journal Fit Checklist:

- Scope matches my topic
- Word count is within limits
- Reference style confirmed
- Recent similar papers published